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Earth’s Energy Budget: Why it Matters
Earth’s Energy Budget (1σ Range): CERES

- Incoming Solar Radiation: 340 (339.9, 340.1)
- Reflected Solar Radiation: -99 (-98, -100)
- TOA Imbalance: 0.71 (0.61, 0.81)
- Outgoing LW Radiation: -240 (-238, -242)

- Absorbed by Atmosphere: 77 (72, 82)
- Reflected from Cloudy Regions
- Reflected from Clear Regions
- Absorbed at Surface: 164 (159, 169)
- Absorbed at Surface: 345 (338, 352)

- Surface Emission: -398 (-395, -401)
- Surface Imbalance: 0.71 (0.61, 0.81)
- Latent Heat: -88
- Sensible Heat: -21
- Emitted from Clear Regions
- Emitted from Cloudy Regions
- Emitted from Clear Regions
- Atmosphere LW cooling: -186 (-178, -194)

- Units: Wm²

- Earth’s energy imbalance: net forcing Earth has yet to respond to.
- Earth would be 33°C colder without the atmospheric greenhouse effect.
- Net radiation at surface sets the upper limit for global mean precipitation.
Planetary Energy Budget and Surface Temperature
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Increase in Atmospheric Greenhouse Gases (e.g., CO\textsubscript{2}, CH\textsubscript{4})

- Greenhouse gases trap emitted thermal radiation from surface, reducing ETR and heating Earth.
- 90% of the excess heat is stored in the ocean.
- Remainder warms atmosphere & land, melts snow and ice.

\[ \textit{T}_s \text{ increases when averaged over a long time period} \]

- At timescales of up to a few decades, natural fluctuations in ocean currents and atmospheric wind patterns can cause \( T_s \) to vary, temporarily offsetting or augmenting the increase in \( T_s \) associated with global warming.
“Symptoms” of a Positive Earth Energy Imbalance

A positive EEI leads to:

- A rise in Earth’s surface temperature, atmospheric moisture and global mean sea level
- Shifts in atmospheric circulation patterns, leading to more extreme weather (⇒ flooding, drought)
- Increase in ocean heat content, leading to ocean acidification, impacting fish and other marine biodiversity
- Decrease in land and sea ice, snow cover and glaciers

From von Schuckmann et al. (2016)
CERES Net radiation & In-Situ PHU show consistent increasing trends with good agreement in year-to-year variability.

Trend and Uncertainty \( (\text{Wm}^{-2} \text{ per decade; 5\%-95\% CI}) \)

- Trend: \( 0.50 \pm 0.47 \)
- Trend Diff: \( 0.07 \pm 0.29 \)
- \( R^2 = 0.49 \)

Note: CERES and Argo+Altimeter are anchored to an EEI of \( 0.76 \pm 0.1 \text{ Wm}^{-2} \) for 2005-2020 based upon in-situ data.

Satellite and in situ observations independently show an approximate doubling of Earth's Energy Imbalance (EEI) from mid-2005 to mid-2019

Loeb et al. (2021)
Stewardship Required to Produce and Sustain an Earth Radiation Budget CDR
Climate Data Record (CDR)

“A time series of measurements of sufficient length, consistency, and continuity to determine climate variability and change” (US National Research Council, 2004)

- “Continuity” of an Earth measurement exists when the quality of the measurement for a specific quantified Earth science objective is maintained over the required temporal and spatial domain set by the objective.

- “Quality” is characterized by the combined standard uncertainty, which includes instrument calibration uncertainty, repeatability, time and space sampling, and data systems and delivery for climate variables (algorithms, reprocessing, and availability).

- “Consistency” requires that instruments introduced to continue an existing CDR produce “backward compatible” measurements that allow continuation of the CDR without introducing discontinuities in the record.

- Since there is no “truth” available, ensuring that a data record satisfies the CDR criteria is challenging: multiple independent intercomparisons involving both satellite and surface/in-situ measurements are needed.
Clouds and the Earth’s Radiant Energy System

- Goal is to produce a long-term, integrated global climate data record (CDR) of Earth’s radiation budget (ERB) from the surface to the top-of-atmosphere (TOA) together with the associated cloud, aerosol & surface properties.

- To enable improved understanding of the variability in Earth’s radiation budget.

- To provide data products for climate model evaluation and improvement.
CERES Instruments

- 7 instruments on 5 satellites (TRMM, Terra, Aqua, SNPP, NOAA-20) for diurnal and angular sampling.

- Narrow field-of-view scanning radiometer with nadir footprint size of 10 km (TRMM); 20 km (Terra, Aqua), 24 km (SNPP, NOAA-20).

- Measures radiances in 0.3-5 µm, 0.3-200 µm and 8-12 µm (FM6 replaces WN with LW channel)

- Capable of scanning in several azimuth plane scan modes: fixed (FAP or crosstrack, rotating azimuth plane (RAP), programmable (PAP).

- Coincident Cloud and Aerosol Properties from VIRS/MODIS/VIIRS. Required for scene identification and addressing CERES science questions.

- Factor of 2-3 improvement over ERBE.
In order to provide complete spatial coverage of Earth and resolve its diurnal cycle, a high level of data fusion is required.

During the CERES period, the team has processed data from:
- 7 CERES instruments
- 1 VIRS imager (TRMM)
- 2 MODIS imagers (Terra, Aqua)
- 2 VIIRS imagers (S-NPP, NOAA-20)
- 20+ geostationary imagers
- Solar irradiance measurements
- Meteorological, ozone and aerosol assimilation data
- Snow/ice maps

All data are integrated to obtain climate accuracy in radiative fluxes from the top to the bottom of the atmosphere.
All-Sky Net Flux (All-Sky; Hourly)
Global Mean All-Sky TOA Flux Monthly Anomalies (03/2000-01/2022; Climatology: 05/2018—06/2019)

• Based upon CERES SSF1deg products (no GEO)
• NET monthly anomalies consistent to 0.3 Wm$^{-2}$ (1σ)
• No evidence of CERES instrument drift
CERES Net TOA flux Trends against Record Length for CERES SSF1deg Terra (top) and Terra – Aqua (bottom) (Start date is 03/2000 for Terra and 07/2002 for Terra – Aqua. Gray shading corresponds to 95% confidence interval.)

- Terra & Aqua net TOA flux trends are consistent to < 0.1 Wm$^{-2}$ for the full period.
CERES EBAF

Serves as the CERES monthly CDR product for multiple scientific purposes (climate model evaluation, climate variability, trend analysis, etc.).

Requirements:

• Same level of stability as SSF1deg.
• Accurate regional averages, which requires diurnal sampling. Involves “careful” use of GEOs.
• Accurate global mean SW, LW and NET. We use in situ EEI as constraint.
• Clear-sky fluxes to be defined in the same manner as GCMs (”cloud removed”).
• Computed surface fluxes that are consistent with observed TOA fluxes.
  - Use a constraintment algorithm to adjust inputs to Fu-Liou RTM within uncertainty so that computed and observed TOA fluxes agree.

EBAF has been an evolutionary process. We continue to refine the product in order to accommodate observing system changes to ensure a seamless record.
- Geostationary satellite boundaries appear in Ed2.8 trends, not Ed4.0.
- Improved Ed4.0 diurnal corrections avoid discontinuities due to geostationary satellite artifacts.
Surface net radiative flux trend (08/2002-02/2020)

- Ed4.1 EBAF relies on GEO imager-derived cloud properties, which can vary across GEO platforms.
Surface Net Longwave Flux Anomaly (60°N to 60°S, Lon: 180° to 255°)

- Discontinuities in cloud IR emissivity (optical depth) and cloud height cause discontinuities in net downward LW flux at the surface.
Discontinuities in GEOS 5.4.1 Water Vapor Profiles

60S-60N Land+Ocean

[Discontinuities in GEOS 5.4.1 Water Vapor Profiles]

[G-5.4.1 WV Anomalies] – [MERRA-2 WV Anomalies]

[G-5.4.1 WV Anomalies] – [ERA-5 WV Anomalies]

- The differences between G541 and ERA5 are similar to those between G541 and MERRA-2.
- This implies that the differences are mainly driven by G541 problems.
- The discontinuities in G541 might be related to input observing data changes.
Performing orbit-lowering retrograde maneuvers causes the MLT rate to increase. By skipping CEMs and delaying PLMs to June 2024 we keep the MLT lower, which ultimately helps delay passivation to August 2026 in the "free-drift" case shown.
Planned Changes in EBAF Processing

1) Transition to NOAA-20:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terra-Only</th>
<th>Terra+Aqua</th>
<th>NOAA20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Note: Climatology of Terra-Only and NOAA20-Only will be anchored to Terra+Aqua climatology using overlapping periods.

2) EBAF-Surface fluxes will be processed with MODIS/VIIRS imager cloud retrievals (no GEO).

3) EBAF-Surface fluxes will be re-calculated using MERRA-2 meteorological inputs.
   
   • MODIS/VIIRS imager cloud properties will not be reprocessed (based upon GEOS 5.4.1)
The Future
- Currently, 6 CERES instruments fly on 4 satellites: Terra (L1999), Aqua (L2002), SNPP (L2011), NOAA-20 (L2017).
- Libera scheduled for launch in 2028 on JPSS-3.
Libera, First NASA EVC-1 Mission

‘Li-be-ra, named for the daughter of Ceres in ancient Roman mythology

Provides continuity of the Clouds and the Earth’s Radiant Energy System (CERES) Earth radiation budget (ERB)

- Measures integrated shortwave (0.3–5 μm), longwave (5–50 μm), total (0.3–>100 μm) and (new) split-shortwave (0.7–5 μm) radiance over 24 km nadir footprint at ~0.2% uncertainty.
- Includes a wide FOV camera for scene ID and simple ADM generation to pave way for future free-flyer ERB observing system

Innovative technology improves accuracy:

- Electrical Substitution Radiometers (ESRs) using Vertically Aligned Carbon Nanotube (VACNT) detectors

Primary operational modes:

- Cross-track, azimuthal, along-track scanning; on-board calibrators; solar and lunar viewing.

Flight:

- JPSS-3, 2028 launch; 5-year mission

Partners:

- Technical: LASP, Ball Aerospace, NIST Boulder, Space Dynamics Lab; CU, JPL, CSU, UA, UM, LBL
- Science: CU, JPL, CSU, UA, UM, LBL, ETH, U. Reading, UK. Met Office, Imperial College London
Gap Risk Analysis

• Goal is to estimate of the probability that a data gap will occur in the Earth Radiation Budget climate data record given the ERB instrument flight schedule and historical spacecraft and instrument survival rates

• Period considered: present to 2032

• We assume that a mission terminates if either the ERB instrument, imager it flies with, or spacecraft fails.

• A further assumption is that an ERB instrument can bridge a data gap and maintain radiometric continuity of the ERB CDR even if its orbit drifts in mean local time (MLT) past its nominal mission requirement.
• Gap risk in 2025 exceeds 20% if SNPP ends in 2024 and TER & AQU end in 2023. Remains <5% if either SNPP ends in 2027 or TER & AQU end in 2026.

• Gap risk in 2026 reaches 27% if SNPP ends in 2024 but remains <10% if SNPP ends in 2027.

• Gap risk reaches 38% when Libera launches in 2028 for all scenarios.
Bridging a Data Gap in the ERB CDR

Goal: Examine the feasibility of using less-accurate imager retrievals to compute radiative fluxes and tie the time series before and after a data gap together.

Global Annual Mean SW TOA Flux

Use imager retrievals to tie the time series before and after the data gap.
Assumes imager remains healthy and perfectly stable across the data gap. The longer the gap, the greater the risk.

The resulting uncertainty is too large to enable decade-to-decade changes in EEI to be resolved.

Time to detect a real trend above uncertainty would increase substantially.

A gap would require considerable extra post-processing effort, thereby delaying release of the ERB data products.
Conclusions

• CERES provides the longest continuous dedicated global ERB record (2000-present) for tracking changes in EEI, constraining cloud feedback, climate model evaluation and quantifying aerosol direct radiative effect.

• A tremendous amount of effort is needed to ensure a seamless long-term CDR across multiple satellite missions.

• A data gap significantly increases uncertainty for tracking decade-to-decade changes and long-term trends in ERB.

• Continuing existing missions (especially S-NPP) reduces the probability of a data gap.

• The gap risk when Libera launches in 2028 is 38%.

• An ERB follow-on mission after Libera has not been identified.